



Level of Service Inventory-Revised

By D.A. Andrews, Ph.D. & James L. Bonta, Ph.D.

Profile Report

Name:

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| Assessment Age: | 24 |
| Gender: | Male |
| Social Security #: | |
| ID Number: | 06CR00123 |
| Referral Source: | Johnson County Court |
| Reason for Referral: | Assessment |
| Present Offenses: | 21-3711 MAKE FALSE WRIT |
| Disposition: | GUILTY |
| Rater: | VALERIE MEADE |
| Purpose of Report: | Probation |
| Context: | Community: Pre-sentence Report/Predisposition Report |
| Other Client Issues: | None Specified |
| Assessment Date: | September 13, 2006 |




Copyright © 2002, Multi-Health Systems Inc. All rights reserved.
P.O. Box 950, North Tonawanda, NY 14120-0950
3770 Victoria Park Ave., Toronto, ON M2H 3M6

Introduction

The Level of Service Inventory-Revised is a risk and needs assessment tool. This report summarizes the results of the LSI-R administration, and provides information pertinent to the assessment of the individual.

Overall Assessment Based on LSI-R Total Score

The graph below shows the LSI-R Total Score and indicates the classification level associated with that score.



Assessment Based on LSI-R Score

Source/Purpose of Classification

Overall LSI-R Score

Risk Level (Community)

Probation Guideline

Halfway House

Probability of Recidivism

LSI-R Score

Minimum

Medium level of supervision/service is suggested, but consider minimum management and/or treatment of dynamic risk factors.

Medium Surveillance

Highly Appropriate

23%

Comparison to Prison Inmates


The score is as high or higher than 11.6% of a normative group of prison inmates tested with the LSI-R.

Professional Discretion/Override

The professional discretion/override was not used in this case.

Assessment of Risk/Needs Based on LSI-R Subcomponents

The graph below displays specific areas, and indicates whether they are low, medium, or high risk/needs areas.



Details Regarding Subcomponent Risks/Needs

Criminal History

- 1. Any prior adult convictions: Yes, Number: 1
- 10. Official record of assault/violence: Yes

Education/Employment

- 11. Currently unemployed: Yes
- 12. Frequently unemployed: Yes
- 13. Never employed for a full year: Yes
- 14. Ever fired: Yes
- 18. Participation/performance: A very unsatisfactory situation with a very clear and strong need for improvement
- 19. Peer interactions: A very unsatisfactory situation with a very clear and strong need for improvement
- 20. Authority interactions: A very unsatisfactory situation with a very clear and strong need for improvement

Family/Marital

- 23. Dissatisfaction with marital or equivalent situation: A relatively unsatisfactory situation with a need for improvement

Leisure/Recreation:

- 31. Could make better use of time: A relatively unsatisfactory situation with a need for improvement

Companions

33. Some criminal acquaintances: Yes

Summary of LSI-R Item Responses

The rater entered the following response values for the items on the Level of Service Inventory-Revised Form.

| Item | Response | Item | Response | Item | Response |
|------|----------|------|----------|------|----------|
| 1. | Y | 19. | 0 | 37. | N |
| 2. | N | 20. | 0 | 38. | N |
| 3. | N | 21. | 2 | 39. | 2 |
| 4. | N | 22. | N | 40. | 2 |
| 5. | N | 23. | 1 | 41. | N |
| 6. | N | 24. | 2 | 42. | N |
| 7. | N | 25. | 2 | 43. | N |
| 8. | N | 26. | N | 44. | N |
| 9. | N | 27. | 2 | 45. | N |
| 10. | Y | 28. | N | 46. | N |
| 11. | Y | 29. | N | 47. | N |
| 12. | Y | 30. | N | 48. | N |
| 13. | Y | 31. | 1 | 49. | N |
| 14. | Y | 32. | N | 50. | N |
| 15. | N | 33. | Y | 51. | 2 |
| 16. | N | 34. | N | 52. | 2 |
| 17. | N | 35. | N | 53. | N |
| 18. | 0 | 36. | N | 54. | N |

Additional Item Information

- 1. Number of prior convictions: 1
- 4. Number of present offenses: 1
- 8. Number of times punished for institutional misconduct: 0
- 40. Type of drug associated with current drug problem: None Specified
- 45. Other indicators of drug problem: None Specified
- 50. Area of psychological assessment indicated: none indicated

Date Printed: Wednesday, September 13, 2006

End of Report